

REMARKS

We have canceled various claims in this response, including all claims that were rejected in the action by the Patent and Trademark Office on the basis of prior art (namely 6, 7, 9, and 15).

Of the remaining claims, the examiner rejected claim 11 for lacking a period at the end. A period has been added, the word "comprising" has been placed at the end of the preamble, and the parts of the claim have been reorganized and explained to show how they work together.

Claim 14 has been amended to provide an internal reference for "frame rate". For support for this change, please see p. 4, line 17-18 and page 10, lines 29-30. The relationships of the remaining claims through claim 20 have been fixed.

New method claims that are similar to the apparatus claims have been added. No new matter has been added. For support, see, for example, pages 9 and 10.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all claims are now allowable. We request that you please reconsider.

Thank you.

Date: August 1, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Eric Rosenthal, inventor
191 Beacon Hill Road
Morganville, NJ 07751
732-817-1720